UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
GEORGIA ARGYRIS,	

Plaintiff,

NOTICE OF MOTION

-against-

Index No. 07 Civ. 9623 (DC)(HP)

BOARD OF EDUCATION OF THE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK, and JOEL KLEIN, as Chancellor of the City School District of the City of New York,

Defendants.
,

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, upon defendants' memorandum of law in support of their motion for judgment on the pleadings, dated June 6, 2008, the Declaration of Robyn Silvermintz, dated June 6, 2008, and all other pleadings and proceedings herein, defendants will move this Court on July 11, 2008, at the United States Courthouse, for the Southern District Court of New York, located at 500 Pearl Street, New York, NY 10007, at 9:30A.M. or as soon thereafter as counsel may be heard for an order pursuant to Rule 12(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure for judgment on the pleadings and granting such other relief as to this Court seems proper.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE, that answering papers, if any, must be served on the undersigned by June 27, 2008 and that defendants reply, if any, must be served by July 7, 2008.

Dated:

New York, New York

June 6, 2008

MICHAEL A. CARDOZO Corporation Counsel of the City of New York Attorney for Defendants 100 Church Street, Room 2-118 New York, New York 10007 (212) 442-0144

By:

Robyn Silverm ntz

Assistant Corporation Counsel

rsilverm@law.nyc.gov

TO: James R. Sandner Attorney for Plaintiff 52 Broadway, 9th Floor

New York, NY 10004-1614

attn.: Melinda Gordon, Senior Counsel

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 	
GEORGIA ARGYRIS,	•

Plaintiff,

DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE

-against-

PLEADINGS

BOARD OF EDUCATION OF THE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK, and JOEL KLEIN, as Chancellor of the City School District of the City of New York.

07 CIV 9623 (DC)(HP)

Defenda	nts.
***************************************	х

ROBYN SILVERMINTZ, declares, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1746 and under penalty of perjury, that the following is true and correct:

- 1. I am an Assistant Corporation Counsel in the office of Michael A. Cardozo, Corporation Counsel of the City of New York, attorney for defendants in the above-caption action brought under the Family Medical Leave Act 29 U.S.C. §§ 2601, et. seq.,
- 2. This declaration is submitted in support of defendants' motion for judgment on the pleadings.
- 3. The following exhibits, annexed to this declaration, are offered in support of defendants' motion for judgment on the pleadings:

Exhibit "A" a copy of the Stipulation of Settlement, dated March 9, 2006

Exhibit "B" a copy of the Complaint in this action.

Exhibit "C" a copy of the Answer to the complaint with the exhibits annexed thereto.

Exhibit "D" a copy of the Amended Complaint in this action.

3. Defendants rely on the Answer and attached exhibits to the Complaint in moving pursuant to Rule 12(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure to respond to the Amended Complaint, pursuant to Judge Chin's instruction that defendants either answer or move pursuant to Rule 12(c) to respond to plaintiff's Amended Complaint.

Assistant Corporation Counse

Dated:

New York, New York

June 6, 2008

EXHIBIT A

IN THE MATTER OF THE NEW YORK CITY
DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION,

Employer,

-against-

STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT

GEORGIA ARGYRIS

Employee.

Pursuant to Paragraph 11(a) of the Memorandum of Agreement between the United Federation of Teachers and the New York City Board of Education

WHEREAS, the New York City Department of Education ("Department" "DOE") initiated a disciplinary proceeding against Georgia Argyris ("Employee") pursuant to paragraph 11(a) of the Memorandum of Agreement ("MOA") between the United Federation of Teachers, Local 2, American Federation of Teachers, AFL-CIO ("UFT") and the Department which modifies Article 21 of the collective bargaining agreement covering teachers in the New York City public school system as authorized by Education Law §3020(4)(a), based on the Employee's record of time and attendance; and

WHEREAS, the parties desire to eliminate the need for a hearing, have held discussions, have had an opportunity to seek the advice of counsel in order to have all terms and conditions of this Stipulation of Settlement ("Stipulation") thoroughly explained to them, and now freely consent to enter into this Stipulation; such consent not having been induced by fraud, duress, or any other undue influence; and

WHEREAS, no other person not a party to this proceeding has an interest in its outcome, and no party to this proceeding is an infant or incompetent person for whom a committee has been appointed; and

WHEREAS, the parties have reached an agreement as to the complete and final resolution of this matter;

NOW IT IS HEREBY AGREED AND STIPULATED by and between said parties that this matter shall be fully resolved as follows:

- Employee hereby admits that she was excessively absent twelve (12) times during the 1. 2003-2004 school year, twenty-five times (25) times during the 2004-2005 school year and forty (40) times during 2005-2006 school year.
- Employee hereby admits that she was excessively late sixty-two (62) times during the 2004-2005 school year and forty-three (43) times during 2005-2006 school year.
- Employee agrees that for the remainder of the 2005-2006 she will be on a probationary period, whereby any absences, except as listed in paragraph 7, will result in her being automatically terminated, thereby waiving her rights under Education Law Section 3020-a.
- Employee agrees that for the school years 2006-2007 and 2007-2008 she will continue to be on a probationary period, whereby should she exceed five (5) days absence per school year, she will be automatically terminated, thereby waiving her rights under Education Law Section 3020-a.
- Employee agrees that for the school years 2005-2006, and 2006-2007 she will continue to be on a probationary period, whereby should she exceed five (5) incidences of lateness beyond ten (10) minutes of her scheduled start time per school year, except under the conditions listed in paragraph 8, she will be automatically terminated, thereby waiving her rights under Education Law Section 3020-a. Latenesses of any length which, in the aggregate, exceed 55 minutes during any of the probationary school years, will likewise be deemed a violation of her probation and result in her being automatically terminated.
- For the duration of the probationary period, employee will be required to use the time clock when she arrives at and leaves from her assigned location.
- Absences and/or lateness as permitted by DOE non-attendance by-laws governing death 7. in immediate family or household shall not count as absences during the probationary period stated in paragraphs 3 through 5 above. Documented jury duty or required court appearances will not count as absences during the probationary period, provided that the Employee promptly submits documentation to prove the required jury duty or court appearances to her

immediate supervisor. Absences or lateness that satisfy the requirements of this paragraph will run concurrently with the probationary period.

- 8. Lateness which occurs during the probationary period under the following circumstances will not be counted against the allowance of five (5) days lateness per school year:
 - a. Delays of the public transportation system, provided the employee submits a copy of an official notification from the system's operating authority describing the nature of the claimed delay; such notification will be provided to the employee's immediate supervisor within a reasonable time not to exceed two weeks from the date of the delay,
 - b. Automobile accident or breakdown while traveling to her assigned location, provided the employee submits documents verifying the incident in the form of police reports and/or towing company receipts; such documentation will be provided to the employee's immediate supervisor within a reasonable time not to exceed two weeks from the date of the incident.
- 9. Any automatic termination of the probationary period stated in paragraphs 3 through 5 above may be reviewed, at request of the Employee or her counsel or other authorized representative, by the Department's Office of Labor Relations and Collective Bargaining ("OLRCB"). The Employee agrees that any determination by OLRCB will be final and binding and not subject to judicial review or review in any other forum. Any request for review of an automatic probationary termination must be made in writing within ten (10) school days to the Director of OLRCB, with a copy simultaneously sent to the Department's attorney whose signature appears in this Stipulation below.
- 10. The Employee agrees to pay a fine in the amount of two (2) week's gross pay. This fine will be deducted from Employee's paychecks in twenty (20) equal amounts following execution of this Stipulation by all parties. Should the Employee leave the Department prior to completing full payment of such fine, the final balance shall become due at the time of her last paycheck.
- 11. The Employee agrees that if she is ever brought up on Education Law §3020-a charges while still employed by the Department after the successful completion of the probationary

period stated in paragraphs 3 through 5 above, the hearing officer or panel will be entitled to consider this Stipulation for the purposes of notice, and if Employee is subsequently found guilty of those charges, the hearing officer or panel will be entitled to consider this Stipulation for the purpose of assessing an appropriate penalty.

- 12. The parties to this Stipulation both knowingly waive their rights to make any legal or equitable claims, or to initiate legal or administrative proceedings of any kind against each other, based upon or arising out of the facts and circumstances of this case, or terms and condition of this Stipulation and agree to withdraw any pending legal or administrative proceedings, based upon or arising out of the facts and circumstances of this case, or based upon or arising out of terms and conditions of this Stipulation, except to enforce compliance of this Stipulation. The Employee further agrees to withdraw any such grievances, claims or actions that may have been commenced in any forum whatsoever arising out of the facts and circumstances of this case.
- 13. An executed copy of this Stipulation may be maintained in the Employee's personnel file; the files maintained by the Office of Legal Services; the Office of Labor Relations and Collective Bargaining; the files maintained by Arthur Riegel, Esq. who is presently standing as the sole arbitrator in disciplining cases arising under Article 11(a) of the MOA between the UFT and the DOE; and in the files maintained by the Regional Office. However, except as otherwise provided by this Stipulation, the Department will not make this Stipulation available outside of the Department to anyone other than the Employee without her express written consent, unless otherwise required by law.
- 14. Employee affirms that she has entered into this agreement freely, knowingly and openly, without coercion or duress, and that she has voluntarily waived all statutory, contractual, constitutional or other rights she may have held in this matter, including her right to a hearing in accordance with Education Law §3020-a.
- 15. The Employee affirms that she has consulted with counsel in reaching this agreement and has reviewed the terms of this Stipulation of Settlement and voluntarily enters into this agreement with the advice and consent of counsel.
- 16. Nothing in this Stipulation shall be deemed to be a practice or policy of the Department or of Community School District 4, or Region 9.

4

17. This written agreement contains all the terms and conditions agreed upon by the parties hereto and no other agreement, oral or otherwise, regarding said allegations and charges shall be deemed to exist or to bind the parties hereto or to vary any of the terms contained herein.

Dated: March 9, 2006

GEORGIÁ ARGYRIS

Employee

Dated: March 9, 2006

ESLIE J. MOKSILLO, ESQ.

Attorney for Employer Office of Labor Relations

N.Y.C. Department of Education

51 Chambers Street

New York, New York 10007

Dated: March 9, 2006

REBEKAH MARLER-MITCHELL

Principal, PS50M

Community School District 4, Region 9

EXHIBIT B

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	••
GEORGIA ARGYRIS,	X
Plaintiff,	<u>SUMMONS</u>
-against-	Civil Action No.:
BOARD OF EDUCATION OF THE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK, and JOEL KLEIN, as Chancellor of the City School District of the City of New York,	OL U7 CW 962 Jury Trial Demanded
Defendants.	v
TO: BOARD OF EDUCATION OF THE CITY S DISTRICT OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK JOEL KLEIN, as Chancellor of the City Scho District of the City of New York, c/o Corporation Counsel of the City of New 100 Church Street New York, New York 10007 YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and re JAMES R. SANDNER, ESQ. Melinda G. Gordon, Of Counsel New York State United Teachers 52 Broadway, NY 10004 (212) 533-6300 an answer to the complaint with is herewith served usummons upon you, exclusive of the day of service.	K and hool York required to serve upon Plaintiff's Attorney: upon you, within 20 days after service of this
be taken against you for the relief demanded in the co with the Clerk of this Court within a reasonable period	complaint. You must also file your answer iod of time after service.
J. MICHAEL McMAHON	OCT 3 0 2007
CLERK (BY) BOOK CLORK WITH	DATE
NYC-Legal 111296	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Q7 CIV 9623

GEORGIA ARGYRIS,

Plaintiff,

COMPLAINT

-against-

Civil Action No.: 07 Civ.

Jury Trial Demanded

BOARD OF EDUCATION OF THE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK, and JOEL KLEIN, as Chancellor of the City School District of the City of New York,

Defendants.

U.S.CASHILLER

Plaintiff, GEORGIA ARGYRIS, by her attorney JAMES R. SANDNER (Melinda G. Gordon, of counsel), and STROOCK & STROOCK & LAVAN LLP and for her complaint, respectfully alleges as follows:

NATURE OF THE CASE

- 1. This is a civil action brought to remedy violations of the Family and Medical Leave Act ("FMLA" or "Act"), 29 U.S.C. §§2601 et seq., and all applicable regulations thereunder; Plaintiff's rights guaranteed under the Due Process Clause of the Fifth Amendment to the United States Constitution, Plaintiff's rights guaranteed under the Right to Counsel Clause pursuant to the Sixth Amendment to the United States Constitution and Plaintiff's rights guaranteed pursuant to Article 1 §6 of the New York State Constitution; and Plaintiff's statutory rights under §§478 and 484 of the New York State Judiciary Law.
- 2. The Defendants, in violation of the aforementioned legal authority, acting individually and in concert under color of state law, have failed to grant the Plaintiff leave

NYC/Legal 111296

protected by the FMLA, and have refused to restore the Plaintiff to her teaching position in contravention of the FMLA and in retaliation for the free exercise of her rights thereunder; have unlawfully deprived Plaintiff of her employment and her property interest in her tenured teaching position without due process of law; and have acted without authority, failed to act upon, or otherwise grant Plaintiff's requests to return to her teaching position with all the benefits and emoluments of employment entitled to her thereunder.

3. In connection therewith, Plaintiff seeks declaratory and equitable relief. reinstatement and restoration of benefits, compensatory and punitive damages, costs, interest and attorney fees against Defendants, as well as all other appropriate legal and equitable relief.

JURISDICTION

- 4 Jurisdiction is grounded on 29 U.S.C. §2617 and 28 U.S.C. §§1331, 1343(a)(3) and (a)(4). Supplemental jurisdiction with regard to Plaintiff's State law claims is invoked under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. §1367.
- 5. Plaintiff's claims for compensatory and punitive damages are authorized by 29 U.S.C. §2617. Plaintiff's claims for declaratory relief are authorized by 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202. Plaintiff's claim for attorney fees is authorized by 29 U.S.C. §2617.
- 6. Plaintiff filed a timely verified notice of claim against the Defendants Board of Education of the City School District of the City of New York and Joel Klein as the Chancellor of the City School District of the City of New York on or about January 30, 2007. The Defendants have refused or neglected to make an adjustment or payment on said claim to date.

VENUE

7. Venue appropriately lies within this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391, as a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred within this District.

PLAINTIFF

- 8. Plaintiff GEORGIA ARGYRIS is a citizen of the United States and a resident of Bayside, Queens County, in the State of New York.
- 9. Plaintiff was employed as a tenured teacher of kindergarten students by the Defendant Board of Education of the City School District of the City of New York.

DEFENDANTS

- 10. Defendant BOARD OF EDUCATION OF THE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK ("Board" or "District") is a board of education organized and existing pursuant to the laws of the State of New York, with responsibility for the general control, management, responsibility and administration of the Defendant CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK ("District"), including the employment and dismissal of teachers in said school District in accordance with the law, and is generally responsible in all respects for said school District's compliance with the law. The Defendant District has its offices in, and does a substantial portion of its business in, County of New York, State of New York, located within the Southern District of New York. The District's offices are located at 52 Chambers Street, New York, New York, 10007.
- 11. Defendant JOEL KLEIN ("Klein" or "Chancellor") is and was employed, at all relevant times herein, by the District as Chancellor of the City School District of the City of New York, and, as such, functions as the superintendent of schools and chief executive officer of the

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- On or about September 1997, Plaintiff was appointed by the Defendant Board of 12. Education to the position of Teacher in the tenure area of elementary education as a kindergarten teacher.
- Pursuant to New York State Education Law, Plaintiff's appointment was subject 13. to a probationary period of three years.
- Plaintiff successfully completed her three year probationary period, and thereupon 14. received tenure in her position pursuant to New York State Education Law. Thereafter, Plaintiff continued to be employed as a tenured teacher of kindergarten students in the Defendant District.
- 15. Pursuant to New York State Education Law, Plaintiff, as a tenured teacher, could not be removed from her position except for just cause shown after a due process hearing held in accordance with the provisions of Education Law §3020-a.
- In 2004, Ms. Argyris became the victim of a chain of events orchestrated by a 16. newly appointed principal that set in place a domino reaction that resulted in an employment

NYC-Legal 111296

crisis for Ms. Argyris. In October 2004, Ms. Argyris was falsely accused by the principal of engaging in an act of corporal punishment on a day that Ms. Argyris was not even in attendance at school. The principal later changed the date of the alleged act of corporal punishment to a date

17. Notwithstanding that the allegations were not processed into formal disciplinary charges, Ms. Argyris was removed from her classroom duties as a kindergarten teacher and reassigned to a Temporary Reassignment Center (hereinafter "TRC"), colloquially referred to as the "rubber room," and given non-pedagogical duties. Even though the allegations against Ms. Argyris never resulted in formal charges, Ms. Argyris remained under a cloud of suspicion while

when Ms. Argyris was in school, but the alleged student victim was absent.

- 18. Although the allegations against Georgia Argyris were determined by the principal in January 2005 to be unwarranted, neither the principal or Defendants informed Ms. Argyris that she had been exonerated. Nor was Ms. Argyris returned to her school until September 2006, nearly two years later.
- 19. Georgia Argyris is a devoted and committed educator. Defendants' unwarranted false accusations and willingness to allow Ms. Argyris to linger in the TRC, caused her significant distress.
- 20. In a correspondence, dated February 2006, while reassigned to the TRC, the Board charged Ms. Argyris with excessive absenteeism and lateness from the TRC.
- 21. Article 21 G I of the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the United Federation of Teachers and the Board of Education of the City School District of the City of New York, which covers teachers such as Ms. Argyris, sets out a procedure for the expedited

reassigned to the TRC.

resolution of the discipline of tenured teachers for excessive absences and/or lateness where the penalty being sought by the Board is less than termination.

- 22. In accordance with the procedures set forth in Article 21 G 1 of the Agreement, Ms. Argyris entered into a stipulation of settlement to resolve the time and attendance allegations lodged against her under the belief that she would be able to return to the classroom. By stipulation of settlement dated March 9, 2006, Ms. Argyris agreed to a probationary period regarding the number of times she could be absent and/or late during the remainder of the 2005-2006, and for the 2006-2007, 2007-2008 school years. The stipulation of settlement stated that if Ms. Argyris was absent or late a specific number of times during a specific time frame, she could be terminated without recourse to the Education Law §3020-a process. Ms. Argyris was represented at this proceeding by Sean Kelly, a person that she believed to be an attorney admitted to practice law in New York State. Pursuant to paragraph 9 of the stipulation of settlement, any termination during the probationary period may be reviewed at the request of the employee by the Defendants' Office of Labor Relations and Collective Bargaining ("OLRCB").
- 23. In September 2006, Ms. Argyris was returned to work under the very principal who had falsely accused her of corporal punishment. As part of the principal's continuing campaign to humiliate Ms. Argyris, she was not returned to her kindergarten assignment, but was given other administrative duties.
- On September 14, 2006, and multiple occasions thereafter, Ms. Argyris requested 24. that the principal sign a request granting Ms. Argyris an unpaid leave of absence for the restoration of health. The principal refused to sign this request so that it could be processed by Defendants. Without the principal's signature, Ms. Argyris' request could not be

administratively processed by the Defendants' Medical Bureau. The principal's failure to ministerially sign-off on this request was a continuation of the principal's campaign to harass and intimidate Ms. Argyris.

- On or about September 14, 2006, Ms. Argyris also requested that the principal 25. grant her an unpaid leave pursuant to the Family Medical Leave Act ("FMLA"). The request was signed on or about September 19, 2006, by Dr. Irwin Feldinger, a licensed clinical psychologist and detailed the treatment and medications Ms. Argyris was receiving. The principal refused to sign this request so that it could be processed by Defendants. Without the principal's signature, Ms. Argyris' request could not be administratively processed by Defendants' Medical Bureau. The principal's failure to ministerially sign off on this request was a continuation of the principal's campaign to harass and intimidate Ms. Argyris. This resulted in further depression and additional time and attendance problems for Ms. Argyris.
- On or about September 15, 2007 Defendants' Medical Bureau examined Ms. 26. Argyris and recommended a health leave. However, because of the principal's failure to execute any of Plaintiff's leave requests, the recommendation could not be administratively processed by Defendants.
- On October 30, 2006, Ms. Argyris was terminated for failing to comply with the 27. terms and conditions of the time and attendance stipulation of settlement.
- On or about October 31, 2006, Ms. Argyris learned that Sean Kelly, the person 28. who negotiated the stipulation of settlement on her behalf with the Board, was not admitted to the New York State Bar at the time he negotiated her stipulation of settlement.

Filed 06/06/2008

- Defendants have neglected such notice of claim and have continued to refuse to 30. restore Plaintiff to her position, despite Plaintiff's repeated attempts to return to work as a tenured teacher employed by the Defendants.
- Upon information and belief, Defendants' arbitrary, capricious, and unlawful 31. actions have caused Plaintiff personal damages in addition to the loss of salary, benefits, and other emoluments of employment for which the Defendants are liable.
- 32. Upon information and belief, Defendants' actions also were undertaken willfully. wantonly, maliciously and in reckless disregard for Plaintiff's rights, and as a direct and proximate result thereof Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer economic and emotional damage in a total amount to be proven at trial; therefore Plaintiff seeks exemplary and punitive damages in an amount sufficient to deter said Defendants from similar future wrongful conduct.
- As a further direct and proximate result of Defendants' actions, Plaintiff has 33. suffered and continues to suffer impairment and damage to Plaintiff's good name and reputation by Defendants.
- As a further direct and proximate result of Defendants' actions, Plaintiff has been 34. unable, despite reasonable efforts, to find comparable employment, and has been deprived of other employment opportunities as a result of her unlawful deprivation of employment.

AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Family Medical Leave Act ("FMLA")

- 35. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges and incorporates by reference herein each and every allegation contained above.
- 36. Plaintiff requested said leave of absence from the District to care for her own serious health condition, as that phrase is defined by the FMLA, 29 U.S.C. §2611(11) and 29 C.F.R. §825.114, Plaintiff was suffering from an illness or impairment and under the continuing treatment of a health care provider. For other and further reasons, Plaintiff suffered from a serious health condition at all relevant times as that phrase is defined by the Act.
- 37. Defendant District was and is an "employer", as that term is defined by the FMLA, 29 U.S.C. §2611(4), and therefore comes within the scope and coverage of the Act, for, among other reasons, having engaged in commerce or any activity affecting commerce and having employed fifty or more employees for the requisite time period.
- 38. Defendant Chancellor was and is an "employer" at all relevant times, as that term is defined by the FMLA, 29 U.S.C. §2611(4), and therefore comes within the scope and coverage of the Act, having acted, directly or indirectly in the interests of the District with respect to employees of the District, such District having been an "employer" within the meaning of the Act and covered by the Act.
- 39. Plaintiff was an "eligible employee" as that term is defined by the FMLA, 29 U.S.C. §2611(2), entitled to all of the rights and benefits of the Act, at the time leave was requested.
 - 40. Due to the deteriorating nature of the health condition of Plaintiff, thirty (30) days

tan wasan ini dalah mere degerisa dalah merepitatan darah menjadan diterbiak di terbian darah darah darah darah

notice for the requested leave was not practicable. Plaintiff's need for said leave of absence was not foreseeable, and Plaintiff gave Defendants all practicable notice.

- Even if the giving of thirty days notice was practicable, Defendants had no 41 authority to forever bar Plaintiff from a FMLA leave but were instead merely limited to delaying their approval of Plaintiff's leave request for a period of thirty days, in accordance with 29 C.F.R. § 825.207(h).
- Upon information and belief, Defendants further failed in their obligations under 42. the FMLA and 29 C.F.R. §825.301 to provide posted notices and other materials to its employees regarding their rights and obligations under the Act.
- Upon the foregoing allegations, Plaintiff was entitled to FMLA leave from her 43. position with the Defendant District following her September, 2006 request for such leave, and Defendants' refusal to process such leave violated Plaintiff's rights under the FMLA, entitling Plaintiff to the relief requested in this Complaint.
- The acts of Defendants further constitute a "willful" violation under the Act, and 44. thus Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages as provided for in 29 U.S.C. §2617.

AS AND FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

Fifth Amendment Deprivation Without Due Process of Law

- Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges and incorporates by reference herein each and 45. every allegation contained above.
- Plaintiff, as a tenured teacher in the Defendants' employ, had a property interest in 46. her position and was entitled to representation and confrontation of witnesses by counsel at the proceeding wherein she was charged with excessive absenteeism and lateness. The stipulation of

settlement entered into between Plaintiff and the Defendants should be declared void ab initio because the person who appeared as counsel on Plaintiff's behalf was not an attorney pursuant to the rules of New York State and thus failed to provide Plaintiff representation within the rights to due process as guaranteed by the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution.

AS AND FOR A THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

Sixth Amendment Deprivation of the Right to Counsel

- 47. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges and incorporates by reference herein each and every allegation contained above.
- 48. Plaintiff, as a tenured teacher in the Defendants' employ, had a property interest in her position and was entitled to representation by counsel at the proceeding wherein she was charged with excessive absenteeism and lateness. The stipulation of settlement entered into between Plaintiff and the Defendants should be declared void ab initio because the person who appeared as counsel on Plaintiff's behalf was not an attorney pursuant to the rules of New York State and thus failed to provide Plaintiff representation and the rights to counsel as guaranteed by the Sixth Amendment of the United States Constitution.

<u>AS AND FOR A FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION</u>

Article I,§ 6 of the New York State Constitution

- 49. Article I, §6 of the New York State Constitution protects the property interests of teachers in their tenure right, which cannot be deprived without due process of law and the right to counsel.
- 50. Plaintiff, as a tenured teacher in the Defendants' employ, had a property interest in her position and was entitled to representation by counsel at the proceeding wherein she was

NYC-Legal 111296

charged with excessive absenteeism and lateness. The stipulation of settlement entered into between Plaintiff and the Defendants should be declared void ab initio because the person who appeared as counsel on Plaintiff's behalf was not an attorney pursuant to the rules of New York State and thus failed to provide Plaintiff representation and the right to counsel as guaranteed by the Article 1, §6 of the New York State Constitution.

AS AND FOR A FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Pendant State Law Claim for Violation Of Judiciary Law §478, 484

- 51. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges and incorporates by reference herein each and every allegation contained above.
- 52. Defendants, as parties to the stipulation of settlement executed by Plaintiff, are necessary parties to this claim.
- 53. Plaintiff, as a tenured teacher in the Defendants' employ, had a property interest in her position and was entitled to representation by counsel at the proceeding wherein she was charged with excessive absenteeism and lateness. The stipulation of settlement entered into between Plaintiff and the Defendants should be declared void ab initio because the person who appeared as counsel on Plaintiff's behalf was not an attorney pursuant to the rules of New York State and thus failed to provide Plaintiff with effective assistance of counsel as guaranteed by §§478 and 484 of the New York State Judiciary Law.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, Plaintiff demands judgment as follows:

Granting judgment annulling the March 9, 2006 stipulation of settlement between Α. Plaintiff and the Defendants, and directing reinstatement of Plaintiff as a tenured teacher employed by the Defendants nunc pro tune;

В. Declaring that the acts and practices complained of herein are in violation of the United States Constitution, the Constitution of the State of New York, the FMLA, and enjoining and permanently restraining these violations of law;

Document 11

- C. Directing Defendants to take such affirmative action as is necessary to ensure that the effect of these unlawful employment practices are eliminated;
- D. Directing Defendants to make Plaintiff whole for all earnings and other compensation and benefits she would have received but for Defendants' unlawful actions, including but not limited to all seniority, salary, rights, benefits and emoluments to which Plaintiff would have been entitled;
- Granting Plaintiff judgment against Defendants, jointly and severally, for punitive, E. exemplary and liquidated damages in an amount to be established at trial; and
- F. Granting Plaintiff such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Dated: New York, NY October 29, 2007

Respectfully Submitted.

JAMES R. SANDNER (JS-0360)

Attorney for Plaintiff 52 Broadway, 9th Floor New York, NY 10004-1614

(212),533-6300

By:

MELINDA G. GÓRDÓN (MG-0939)

Senior Counsel

STROOCK & STROOCK & LAVAN LLP 180 Maiden Lane New York, NY 10038-4982 212-806-5400

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
GEORGIA ARGYRIS, Plaintiff,	ANSWER
-against-	07 CV 9623 (DC)
BOARD OF EDUCATION OF THE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK, and JOEL KLEIN, as Chancellor of the City School District of the City of New York,	
Defendants.	
x	

Defendants by their attorney, Michael A. Cardozo, Corporation Counsel of the City of New York, for their Answer to the Complaint, respectfully allege as follows:

- 1. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "1" of the complaint, except admit that plaintiff purports to proceed as set forth therein.
 - 2. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "2" of the complaint.
- 3. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "3" of the complaint, except admit that plaintiff purports to proceed as set forth therein.
- 4. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "4" of the complaint and respectfully refer the Court to the statutes cited therein for a complete and accurate statement of their content.
- 5. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "5" of the complaint and respectfully refer the Court to the statutes referred to for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
 - 6. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "6" of the complaint.

- 7. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "7" of the complaint and respectfully refer the Court to the statute referred to for a complete and accurate statement of its content.
- 8. Deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph "8" of the complaint.
 - 9. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "9" of the complaint.
- 10. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "10" of the complaint, except admit that the New York City Department of Education ("DOE") is a municipal corporation with offices located at 52 Chambers Street, New York, NY 10007 and respectfully refer the Court to Article 52A of the New York State Education Law for a statement setting forth the function of the DOE.
- 11. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "11" of the complaint, except admit that Joel Klein is employed as the Chancellor for the New York City Department of Education and respectfully refer the Court to Education Law § 2590-h for the powers and duties of the Chancellor.
- 12. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "12" of the complaint, except admit that plaintiff was appointed as a probationary teacher on or about September 1997.
 - 13. Admit the allegations set forth in paragraph "13" of the complaint.
- 14. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "14" of the complaint, except admit upon information and belief, that plaintiff completed her three year probationary period.
 - 15. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "15" of the complaint.
 - 16. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "16" of the complaint.

- 17. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "17" of the complaint, except admit, upon information and belief, that plaintiff was reassigned to the Manhattan Regional Operation Center on or about October 26, 2004.
- 18. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "18" of the complaint, except admit upon information and belief, that plaintiff returned to P.S./LS. 50 in September 2006.
 - 19. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "19" of the complaint.
- 20. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "20" of the complaint, except admit upon information and belief, that a Notice of Hearing dated February 10, 2006 was sent to plaintiff.
- 21. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "21" of the complaint, and respectfully refer the Court to the Article of the Collective Bargaining Agreement referred to for a complete and accurate statement of its contents.
- 22. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "22" of the complaint, except admit, upon information and belief, that plaintiff entered into a stipulation of settlement dated March 9, 2006, and respectfully refer the Court to the stipulation of settlement for a complete and accurate statement of its contents.
 - 23. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "23" of the complaint.
 - 24. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "24" of the complaint.
 - 25. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "25" of the complaint.
- 26. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "26" of the complaint, except admit that plaintiff was examined by the Medical Bureau and affirmatively state that plaintiff failed to timely submit required documentation requested by the Medical Bureau.
 - 27. Admit the allegations set forth in paragraph "27" of the complaint.

- 28. Deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph "28" of the complaint.
 - 29. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "29" of the complaint.
 - 30. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "30" of the complaint.
 - 31. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "31" of the complaint.
 - 32. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "32" of the complaint.
 - 33. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "33" of the complaint.
- 34. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "34" of the complaint, except deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations concerning plaintiff's efforts to find comparable employment.
- 35. In response to the allegations set forth in paragraph "35" of the complaint, defendants repeat and re-allege each and every response set forth above to paragraphs "1" through "34" of the complaint.
- 36. Deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph "36" of the complaint and respectfully refer the Court to the statutes referred to for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
- 37. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "37" of the complaint and respectfully refer the Court to the statute referred to for a complete and accurate statement of its content, except admit upon information and belief, that the DOE is an employer as defined by FMLA 29 USC § 2611(4).
- 38. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "38" of the complaint and respectfully refer the Court to the statute referred to for a complete and accurate statement of its content.

- 39. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "39" of the complaint and respectfully refer the Court to the statute referred to for a complete and accurate statement of its content, and affirmatively state that plaintiff is not an "eligible employee" as defined by FMLA, 29 USC § 2611(2), because plaintiff did not work at least 1,250 hours of service during the previous 12 month period. Plaintiff's hours worked the preceding 12 months of when the leave was requested is annexed hereto as Exhibit "A."
 - 40. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "40" of the complaint.
- 41. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "41" of the complaint and respectfully refer the Court to the statute referred to for a complete and accurate statement if its content.
- 42. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "42" of the complaint and respectfully refer the Court to the statutes referred to for a complete and accurate statement of their contents.
 - 43. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "43" of the complaint.
 - 44. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "44" of the complaint.
- 45. In response to the allegations set forth in paragraph "45" of the complaint, defendants repeat and re-allege each and every response set forth above to paragraphs "1" through "44" of the complaint.
 - 46. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "46" of the complaint.
- 47. In response to the allegations set forth in paragraph "47" of the complaint, defendants repeat and re-allege each and every response set forth above to paragraphs "1" through "46" of the complaint.
 - 48. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "48" of the complaint.

- 49. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "49" of the complaint and respectfully refer the Court to Article I, § 6 of the New York State Constitution for a complete and accurate statement of its content.
 - 50. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "50" of the complaint.
- 51. In response to the allegations set forth in paragraph "51" of the complaint, defendants repeat and re-allege each and every response set forth above to paragraphs "1" through "50" of the complaint.
- 52. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "52" of the complaint and affirmatively state that this paragraph contains legal arguments, rather than factual allegations, and that no response is required thereto.
 - 53. Deny the allegations set forth in paragraph "53" of the complaint.

FOR A FIRST DEFENSE:

The complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. 54.

FOR A SECOND DEFENSE:

The plaintiff is not an "eligible employee" as defined by FMLA, 29 USC § 55. 2611(2), because plaintiff did not work at least 1,250 hours of service during the previous 12 month period. See Exhibit "A" annexed hereto.

FOR A THIRD DEFENSE:

Defendants have not violated any rights, privileges or immunities under 56. the Constitution or laws of the United States or the State of New York or any political subdivision thereof.

FOR A FOURTH DEFENSE:

57. The Chancellor is not plaintiff's employer within the meaning of the FMLA and, therefore, all such claims against him must be dismissed.

FOR A FIFTH DEFENSE:

58. All of plaintiff's state law claims are barred because of plaintiff's failure to file a timely notice of claim under Education Law § 3813.

FOR A SIXTH DEFENSE:

59. The individually named defendant is immune under the doctrine of qualified immunity.

FOR A SEVENTH DEFENSE:

60. Plaintiff's claim for front and/or back pay are barred by her failure to mitigate damages.

FOR AN EIGHTH DEFENSE

61. Complaint must be dismissed because plaintiff has failed to name and serve a necessary party to this action.

FOR A NINTH DEFENSE

62. All of plaintiff's state law claims are barred by the applicable statute of limitations.

WHEREFORE, defendants request judgment dismissing the complaint and denying all relief requested therein, together with such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated:

New York, New York January 22, 2008

> MICHAEL A. CARDOZO Corporation Counsel of the City of New York Attorney for Defendants 100 Church Street, Room 2-107 New York, New York 10007 (212) 442-0144

By:

Robyn Silvermintz

Assistant Corporation Counsel

rsilverm@law.nyc.gov

USER: DFLTPT6 NYC PUBLIC SCHOOLS EMPLOYEE INFORMATION SYSTEM 01/22 17:06 TIME AND ATTENDANCE INQUIRY EI1M751

EIS ID: 0719864 SSN: 377960797 NAME: GEORGIA FROM DATE: 10 01 2005 TO DATE: 10 15 2006 ARGYRIS

EARNED EVENT	EVENT				RECOR		ENTERED	USER
DATE CODES	DESCRIPTION	DY	HRS	MIN	STATU	5	DATE	ID
10/31/05 50000	ABSENCE UNAUTH	1	0	0	C P	SG	01/30/06	EDAWSON
10/31/05 41A00		1	Ò	Ŏ	ĊР	АJ	01/30/06	
	ABSENCE UNAUTH	Ō	2	41	CP	5 G	01/30/06	EDAWSON
10/28/05 41A00		1	0	0	CP	A]		EDAWSON
10/27/05 41A00	SELF TREATED	0	1	17	CP	ΑJ	01/30/06	EDAWSON
10/26/05 41A00		0	1	24	CP	ΑJ	01/30/06	EDAWSON
10/25/ 05 41A00	SELF TREATED	1	0	0	ÇΡ	ΑJ	01/30/06	EDAWSON
10/24/05 41A00	SELF TREATED	1	0	0	CP	A)	01/30/06	
10/21/05 49AAC	FRACTIONAL ABS	0	1	29	CP	ΑJ	01/30/06	EDAWSON
	FRACTIONAL ABS	0	2	31	CP	ΑJ	01/30/06	EDAWSON
10/19/05 49AAC	FRACTIONAL ABS	0	0	55	CP	ΑJ	01/30/06	
	FRACTIONAL ABS	0	1	26	CP	ΑJ	01/30/06	
10/17/05 41A00		1	0		CP	AJ	01/30/06	EDAW50N
	FRACTIONAL ABS	0	1	32	CP	ΑJ	01/30/06	EDAWSON
10/12/05 41A00		1	0	0	CP	ΑJ	01/30/06	EDAW5ON
10/11/05 41A00	SELF TREATED	1	0	0	CP	A3	01/30/06	EDAWSON
	FRACTIONAL ABS	Q	2	38	CP	ΑJ		EDAWSON
10/06/05 49AAC	FRACTIONAL ABS	0	1	19	CP	ΑJ	01/30/06	EDAWSON
10/03/05 49AAC	FRACTIONAL ABS	0	1	10	CP	ΑJ	01/30/06	EDAWSON

NO MORE ITEMS TO DISPLAY

USER: DFLTPT6 01/22 17:06 NYC PUBLIC SCHOOLS EMPLOYEE INFORMATION SYSTEM EI1M751

TIME AND ATTENDANCE INQUIRY

EIS ID: 0719864 SSN: 377960797 NAME: GEORGIA FROM DATE: 10 01 2005 TO DATE: 10 15 2006 **ARGYRIS**

EARNED DATE	EVENT CODES	EVENT DESCRIPTION	D/ E/			RECO STAT		ENTERED DATE	USER ID
12/23/05 12/23/05 12/22/05 12/22/05 12/21/05 12/20/05 12/20/05 12/16/05 12/16/05 12/16/05 12/15/05 12/15/05 12/14/05 12/14/05 12/14/05 12/14/05 12/14/05 12/12/09/05 12/09/05 12/09/05 12/06/05 12/06/05 12/06/05 12/05/05 12/06/05 11/29/05	50000 41A00 50000 41A00 50000 41A00	ABSENCE UNAUTH SELF TREATED ABSENCE UNAUTH SELF TREATED ABSENCE UNAUTH SELF TREATED ABSENCE UNAUTH SELF TREATED FRACTIONAL ABS ABSENCE UNAUTH SELF TREATED ABSENCE UNAUTH SELF TREATED ABSENCE UNAUTH SELF TREATED FRACTIONAL ABS FRACTIONAL ABS FRACTIONAL ABS FRACTIONAL ABS ABSENCE UNAUTH SELF TREATED FRACTIONAL ABS ABSENCE UNAUTH SELF TREATED ABSENCE UNAUTH	111111111101111111100111001111111111111	THRS 000000000000000000000000000000000000	MIN 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 13 0 0 15 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 6 6 0 0 5 17 0 0 0 0 0 6 48 51 8	S	US SG SG S	01/30/06 01/30/06	EDAWSON
11/09/05 4 11/08/05 5 11/08/05 4 11/07/05 4	19AAC 10000 11A00 19AAC	FRACTIONAL ABS ABSENCE UNAUTH SELF TREATED FRACTIONAL ABS	0 0 0 0	1 1 0	51 0 8 0 8 0 53 0	C P C P C P	AJ SG AJ AJ	01/30/06 01/30/06 01/30/06 01/30/06 01/30/06 01/30/06	EDAWSO EDAWSO EDAWSO EDAWSO EDAWSO
11/07/05 4 11/04/05 4 11/03/05 5 11/03/05 4 11/02/05 5 11/02/05 4	19AAC 19AAC 10000 / 11A00 ! 11A00 !	FRACTIONAL ABS FRACTIONAL ABS ABSENCE UNAUTH SELF TREATED ABSENCE UNAUTH SELF TREATED	0 0 1 1 1	0 0 0 0 0	53 0 33 0 0 0 0 0	P P P P P	AJ SG AJ SG AJ	01/30/06 E 01/30/06 E 01/30/06 E 01/30/06 E 01/30/06 E 01/30/06 E	EDAWSO EDAWSO EDAWSO EDAWSON EDAWSON EDAWSON
* CA/AT/A7 4	JAMC 1	FRACTIONAL ABS	0	2	15 C	P	AJ (01/30/06 6	:DAWSON

USER: DFLTPT6 NYC PUBLIC SCHOOLS EMPLOYEE INFORMATION SYSTEM EI1M751 01/22 17:06 TIME AND ATTENDANCE INQUIRY

EIS ID: 0719864 SSN: 377960797 NAME: GEORGIA ARGYRIS FROM DATE: 10 01 2005 TO DATE: 10 15 2006

EARNED DATE		EVENT DESCRIPTION			TIME MIN	RECO		ENTERED DATE	USER ID
01/31/06	50000	ABSENCE UNAUTH	1	0	0	CP	SG	02/08/06	EDAWSON
01/31/06	4 LAUU	SELF TREATED	1	0	0	CP	AJ	02/08/06	
		ABSENCE UNAUTH SELF TREATED	1	0	0	CP	SG	02/08/06	
		ABSENCE UNAUTH	1	0	0	C P	AJ	02/08/06	
		SELF TREATED	i	Ö	ő	CP	SG AJ	02/08/06 02/08/06	
		FRACTIONAL ABS		ĭ	14	СP	AJ	02/08/06	
01/25/06	49AAC	FRACTIONAL ABS	0	i	10	CP	AJ	02/08/06	
01/24/06	50000	ABSENCE UNAUTH	ĭ	ō	õ	СP	SG	02/08/06	
01/24/06	41A00	SELF TREATED	ī	Ŏ	ŏ	ČР	ĀJ	02/08/06	
01/23/06	50U0Q	ABSENCE UNAUTH	1	0	Ŏ	CP	5G	02/08/06	
01/23/06	41A00	SELF TREATED	1	Ò	0	CP	ĀĴ	02/08/06	
01/20/06	50u00	ABSENCE UNAUTH	1	0	Ō	CP	SG	02/08/06	
01/20/06	41AQQ	SELF TREATED	1	0	0	C P	ΑJ	02/08/06	
01/19/06	5 0000	ABSENCE UNAUTH	1	0	0	CP	SG	02/08/06	EDAWSON
		SELF TREATED	1	0	0	Ç P	АJ	02/08/06	EDAWSON
01/18/06	50000	ABSENCE UNAUTH	1	0	0	CP	SG	02/08/06	
01/18/06	41A00	SELF TREATED	1	Q	Ō	CP	ΑJ	02/08/06	EDAWSON
		ABSENCE UNAUTH	1	Ŏ.	Ŏ	CP	SG	02/08/06	EDAWSON
		SELF TREATED		Ò	0	CP	AJ	02/08/06	EDAWSON
		FRACTIONAL ABS	0	1		C P C P	AJ	02/08/06	EDAWSON
		ABSENCE UNAUTH	1	ō		C P	A3 5G	02/08/06 02/08/06	COAMOON
		SELF TREATED	ī	ŏ		ĊP	ΑĴ	02/08/06	
01/10/06	50000	ABSENCE UNAUTH	î	ŏ		ČP	SĜ	02/08/06	
01/10/06	41A00	SELF TREATED	ī	ŏ		СP	AJ	02/08/06	EDANSON
01/06/06	49AAC	FRACTIONAL ABS	ō	ŏ		ČP	ΑJ	02/08/06	FDAWSON
		ABSENCE UNAUTH	ĩ	ŏ		ĈР	SG	02/08/06	EDAWSON
01/05/06	41A00	SELF TREATED	ĩ	Ŏ		ÇР	ÁĴ	02/08/06	
01/04/06	49AAC	FRACTIONAL ABS	0	1		ČP	ΑJ	02/08/06	
01/03/06	49аас	FRACTIONAL ABS	0	1		C P	AJ	02/08/06	

USER: DFLTPT6 NYC PUBLIC SCHOOLS EMPLOYEE INFORMATION SYSTEM EILM751 01/22 17:06 TIME AND ATTENDANCE INQUIRY

EIS ID: 0719864 SSN: 377960797 NAME: GEORGIA ARGYRIS FROM DATE: 10 01 2005 TO DATE: 10 15 2006

EARNED DATE	EVENT CODES	EVENT DESCRIPTION	EV DY			RECO STAT		ENTERED DATE	USER ID
03/10/06 03/09/06	41A00 49AAC	ABSENCE UNAUTH SELF TREATED FRACTIONAL ABS	1 1 0	0 0 1	0 0 15	C P C P	SG AJ AJ	05/02/06 05/02/06 05/02/06	EDAWSON
-03/0 9 / 06	49AAB	FRA ABS DED/RG FRA ABS DED/RG FRA ABS DED/RG	1 1 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	C P C P	5G 5G 5G	05/24/06 05/24/06 05/24/06	EBX73
03/09/06 03/09/06	49AAB	FRA ABS DED/RG FRA AB\$ DED/RG	1 1	0	0	C P	SG SG	05/24/06 05/24/06	EBX71 EBX71
03/09/06	49aab	FRA ABS DED/RG FRA ABS DED/RG FRA ABS DED/RG	1 1 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	C P C P	SG SG SG	05/24/06 05/24/06 05/24/06	EBX7J
03/09/06 03/09/06	49AAB 49AAB	FRA ABS DED/RG FRA ABS DED/RG FRA ABS DED/RG	ī 1 1	Ö O	0	C P	5G 5G	05/24/06 05/24/06	EBX7J EBX7J
03/09/06 03/08/06	49AAB 49AAC	FRA ABS DED/RG FRACTIONAL ABS	1 0	0 0 1	0 0 15	C P C P	SG SG AJ	05/24/06 05/24/06 05/02/06	EBX73 EDAWSON
03/06/06 03/06/06	50U00 41A00	FRACTIONAL ABS ABSENCE UNAUTH SELF TREATED	0 1 1	1 0 0	15 0 0	С Р С Р Ç Р	AJ SG AJ	05/02/06 05/02/06 05/02/06	EDAWSON
03/03/06	41A00	ABSENCE UNAUTH SELF TREATED ABSENCE UNAUTH	1 1 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	C P C P	5G AD SG	05/02/06 05/02/06 05/02/06	EDAWSON EDAWSON
03/02/06 03/01/06	41A00 49AAÇ	SELF TREATED FRACTIONAL ABS FRACTIONAL ABS	1 0 0	Ŏ 1 1	0 15 43	C P	AJ AJ	05/02/06 05/02/06	EDAWSON EDAWSON
02/27/06 02/17/06	49AAC 50U00	FRACTIONAL ABS ABSENCE UNAUTH	0 1	1	2	C P C P	AJ AJ SG	03/14/06 03/14/06 03/14/06	EDAWSON EDAWSON
02/16/06 02/16/06	50U00 41A00	SELF TREATED ABSENCE UNAUTH SELF TREATED	1 1 1	0	0	С Р С Р	AJ SG AJ	03/14/06 03/14/06 03/14/06	EDAWSON
02/15/06	41A00	ABSENCE UNAUTH SELF TREATED ABSENCE UNAUTH	$\frac{1}{1}$	0 0 0	0	C P C P	SG AJ SG	03/14/06 03/14/06 03/14/06	EDAWSON EDAWSON
02/14/06 02/13/06	41A00 50000 .	SELF TREATED ABSENCE UNAUTH SELF TREATED	1 1 1	Ŏ 0 0	0	СР СР С Р	AJ SG AJ	03/14/06 03/14/06	EDAWSON EDAWSON
02/10/06 · 02/09/06 ·	49aac 49aac	FRACTIONAL ABS FRACTIONAL ABS FRACTIONAL ABS	0	1	23 32	C P C P	AJ AJ	03/14/06 (03/14/06 (03/14/06 (EDAWSON EDAWSON
02/07/06 (50000 / 41A00 :	ABSENCE UNAUTH SELF TREATED	1	1 0 0	0 (C P C P C P	SG	03/14/06 03/14/06 03/14/06	EDAWSON
02/06/06 4 02/03/06	41A00 : 50000 /	ABSENCE UNAUTH SELF TREATED ABSENCE UNAUTH	1	0 0 0	0 (СР СР		03/14/06 03/14/06 03/14/06	DAWSON
02/03/06 4 02/02/06 5	41AOO 9 50UQO A	SELF TREATED ABSENCE UNAUTH SELF TREATED	1	0 0 0	0 0	CP CP	A J SG	03/14/06 e 03/14/06 e	DAWSON DAWSON
02/01/06 4	19AAC I	FRACTIONAL ABS	_	Õ		C P	AJ (03/14/06 E 03/14/06 E	DAWSON

USER: DFLTPT6 NYC PUBLIC SCHOOLS EMPLOYEE INFORMATION SYSTEM EILM751 01/22 17:06 TIME AND ATTENDANCE INQUIRY

EIS ID: 0719864 SSN: 377960797 NAME: GEORGIA ARGYRIS FROM DATE: 10 01 2005 TO DATE: 10 15 2006

EARNED DATE	CODES	EVENT DESCRIPTION				RECOR		ENTERED DATE	USER ID
10/05/06 09/26/06 09/25/06 09/22/06 09/21/06 09/19/06 09/14/06 09/13/06 09/12/06 09/11/06	49A00 41B00 41B00 49A00 49A00 49A00 49A00 41A00 41A00	LATENESS LATENESS LATENESS	1	0	15 5 5 0 0		OS	11/02/06 11/02/06 10/17/06 10/17/06 10/17/06 09/21/06 09/21/06 09/21/06 09/18/06 09/18/06 09/18/06 11/02/06	EDAWSON

Georgia Argyris

FMLA eligibility worksheet

	"
total annual hours for F/T teacher	
Argyris' total hours absent/late	321
Argyris' total hours in 05-06	820
# of hours below eligibility	430

attendance during 1 year eligibility period prior to 10/11/06 FMLA application

absent		(min)
		muy
Tuesday, October 11, 2005 +		
Wednesday, October 12, 2005 =		
Monday, October 17, 2005 *		
Monday, October 24, 2005	- The restriction of the state of the same	
Tuesday, October 25, 2005 *		
Wednesday, October 26, 2005	The second of the second	
Thursday, October 27, 2005 *		
Friday, October 28, 2005 +		
Monday, October 31, 2005 *		
Wednesday, November 02, 2005 *		
Thursday, November 03, 2005 *		
Tuesday, November 08, 2005 *		
Tuesday, November 15, 2005 *		
Thursday, November 17, 2005 *	The State State of Land Hall	
Friday, November 18, 2005 *	Friday, October 14, 2005 92	
Wednesday, November 23, 2005 *	Tuesday, October 18, 2005 86	
Monday, November 28, 2005 *	Wednesday, October 19, 2005 55	
Tuesday, November 29, 2005 *	Thursday, October 20, 2005 151	
Thursday, December 01, 2005 *	Friday, October 21, 2005 89	
Friday, December 02, 2005 *	Tuesday, November 01, 2005 135	
Monday, December 05, 2005 *	Friday, November 04, 2005 33	
Tuesday, December 06, 2005 *	Monday, November 07, 2005 53	
Friday, December 09, 2005 *	Wednesday, November 09, 2005 111	
Wednesday, December 14, 2005 +	Thursday, November 10, 2005 108	
Thursday, December 15, 2005 *	Monday, November 14, 2005 6	
Friday, December 16, 2005 *	Monday, November 21, 2005 17	
Tuesday, December 20, 2005 *	Tuesday, November 22, 2005 175	
Wednesday, December 21, 2005 *	Wednesday, November 30, 2005 125	
Thursday, December 22, 2005 *	Wednesday, December 07, 2005 51	
Friday, December 23, 2005 *	Thursday, December 08, 2005 79	
Friday, December 23, 2005 *	Monday, December 12, 2005 73	
Thursday, January 05, 2006 *	Tuesday, December 13, 2005 130	

36	Monday, December 19, 2005	Tuesday, January 10, 2006 *
108	Tuesday, January 03, 2006	Wednesday, January 11, 2006 *
72	Wednesday, January 04, 2006	Tuesday, January 17, 2006 *
47	Friday, January 06, 2006	Wednesday, January 18, 2006 *
82	Thursday, January 12, 2006	Thursday, January 19, 2006 *
103	Friday, January 13, 2006	Friday, January 20, 2006 *
<i>7</i> 0	Wędnesday, January 25, 2006	Monday, January 23, 2006 *
74	Thursday, January 26, 2006	Tuesday, January 24, 2006 *
2,161	total minutes	Friday, January 27, 2006 *
36	hours	Monday, January 30, 2006 *
		Tuesday, January 31, 2006 *
		Wednesday, February 01, 2006 *

total absences 45 total hours absent 285

Thursday, February 02, 2006 *

* self-treated day

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that, on January 22, 2008, I caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing Answer to be served by mail on Melinda G. Gordon, attorney for Georgia Argyris, at her office at 52 Broadway, 9th Floor, New York, NY 10004-1614

Dated:

New York, New York January 22, 2008

> MICHAEL A. CARDOZO Corporation Counsel of the City of New York Attorney for Defendants 100 Church Street, Room 2-107 New York, New York 10007 (212) 442-0144

By:

Robyn Silvermintz

Assistant Corporation Counsel

rsilverm@law.nyc.gov

EXHIBIT D

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
	-X
GEORGIA ARGYRIS	

Plaintiff,

SUMMONS

-agamst-

BOARD OF EDUCATION OF THE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK, and JOEL KLEIN, as Chancellor of the City School District of the City of New York,

Jury Trial Demanded

Defendants

TO: BOARD OF EDUCATION OF THE CITY SCHOOL

DISTRICT OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK and JOEL KLEIN, as Chancellor of the City School District of the City of New York, c/o Corporation Counsel of the City of New York 100 Church Street

New York, New York 10007

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to serve upon Plaintiff's Attorney

JAMES R. SANDNER, ESQ Melinda G. Gordon, Of Counsel New York State United Teachers 52 Broadway, NY 10004 (212) 533-6300

an answer to the complaint with is herewith served upon you, within 20 days after service of this summons upon you, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be taken against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You must also file your answer with the Clerk of this Court within a reasonable period of time after service.

J. MICHAEL McMAHON

CLERK

DATE

OCT 3 0 2007

NYC Legal 111796

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
	X
GEORGIA ARGYRIS,	

Plaintiff.

AMENDED COMPLAINT

-against-

Civil Action No.: 07 Civ. 9623 (DC)(HP) Jury Trial Demanded

BOARD OF EDUCATION OF THE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK, and JOEL KLEIN, as Chancellor of the City School District of the City of New York,

Defendants.	
 X	

Plaintiff, GEORGIA ARGYRIS, by her attorney JAMES R. SANDNER (Melinda G. Gordon, of counsel), and STROOCK & STROOCK & LAVAN LLP. and for her complaint, respectfully alleges as follows:

NATURE OF THE CASE

- 1. This is a civil action brought to remedy violations of the Family and Medical Leave Act ("FMLA" or "Act"), 29 U.S.C. §§2601, et seq., and all applicable regulations thereunder; to remedy violations of Plaintiff's rights in signing a stipulation of settlement agreement pursuant to fraudulent inducement; duress and equitable estoppel; and Plaintiff's rights guaranteed pursuant to Article 1 §6 of the New York State Constitution.
- 2. The Defendants, in violation of the aforementioned legal authority, acting individually and in concert under color of state law, have failed to grant the Plaintiff leave protected by the FMLA, and have refused to restore the Plaintiff to her teaching position in

NYC Legal 116064

contravention of the FMLA and in retaliation for the free exercise of her rights thereunder; have unlawfully deprived Plaintiff of her employment and her property interest in her tenured teaching position without due process of law; and have acted without authority, failed to act upon, or otherwise grant Plaintiff's requests to return to her teaching position with all the benefits and emoluments of employment entitled to her thereunder.

- 3. Further, Defendants fraudulently induced Plaintiff to enter into a stipulation of settlement by intentionally suppressing information that Plaintiff had been exonerated of allegations against her. Plaintiff relied on Defendants' implicit representation to her detriment by entering into a stipulation of settlement that resulted in her signing away her tenure due process rights under duress.
- 4. In connection therewith, Plaintiff seeks declaratory and equitable relief, reinstatement and restoration of benefits, compensatory and punitive damages, costs, interest and attorney fees against Defendants, as well as all other appropriate legal and equitable relief.

JURISDICTION

- 5. Jurisdiction is grounded on 29 U.S.C. §2617 and 28 U.S.C. §§1331, 1343(a)(3) and (a)(4). Supplemental jurisdiction with regard to Plaintiff's State law claims is invoked under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. §1367.
- 6. Plaintiff's claims for compensatory and punitive damages are authorized by 29 U.S.C. §2617. Plaintiff's claims for declaratory relief are authorized by 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202. Plaintiff's claim for attorney fees is authorized by 29 U.S.C. §2617.
- 7. Plaintiff filed a timely verified notice of claim against the Defendants Board of Education of the City School District of the City of New York and Joel Klein as the Chancellor

of the City School District of the City of New York on or about January 30, 2007. The Defendants have refused or neglected to make an adjustment or payment on said claim to date.

VENUE

Venue appropriately lies within this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391, as a 8. substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred within this District.

PLAINTIFF

- 9. Plaintiff GEORGIA ARGYRIS is a citizen of the United States and a resident of Bayside, Queens County, in the State of New York.
- Plaintiff was employed as a tenured teacher of kindergarten students by the 10. Defendant Board of Education of the City School District of the City of New York.

DEFENDANTS

11. Defendant BOARD OF EDUCATION OF THE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK ("Board" or "District") is a board of education organized and existing pursuant to the laws of the State of New York, with responsibility for the general control, management, responsibility and administration of the Defendant CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK ("District"), including the employment and dismissal of teachers in said school District in accordance with the law, and is generally responsible in all respects for said school District's compliance with the law. The Defendant District has its offices in, and does a substantial portion of its business in, County of New York, State of New York, located within the Southern District of New York. The District's offices are located at 52 Chambers Street, New York, New York 10007.

Filed 06/06/2008

12. Defendant JOEL KLEIN ("Klein" or "Chancellor") is and was employed, at all relevant times herein, by the District as Chancellor of the City School District of the City of New York, and, as such, functions as the superintendent of schools and chief executive officer of the District. As Superintendent of the District, he is entrusted with those powers and duties set forth in Article 52-A of New York's Education Law (the "Education Law"), and as such, is empowered and duty bound to enforce all provisions of law and all rules and regulations relating to the management of the District, as well as to supervise and direct persons employed by defendant District, including but not limited to tenured teachers. The Defendant Chancellor has offices in, and does a substantial portion of his business in, County of New York, State of New York, located within the Southern District of New York, at 52 Chambers Street, New York, NY 10007. He is sued herein in both his official and individual capacity.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 13. On or about September 1997, Plaintiff was appointed by the Defendant Board of Education to the position of Teacher in the tenure area of elementary education as a kindergarten teacher.
- 14. Pursuant to New York State Education Law, Plaintiff's appointment was subject to a probationary period of three years.
- 15. Plaintiff successfully completed her three-year probationary period, and thereupon received tenure in her position pursuant to New York State Education Law. Thereafter, Plaintiff continued to be employed as a tenured teacher of kindergarten students in the Defendant District.

- Pursuant to New York State Education Law, Plaintiff, as a tenured teacher, could 16. not be removed from her position except for just cause shown after a due process hearing held in accordance with the provisions of Education Law §3020-a.
- In 2004. Ms. Argyris became the victim of a chain of events orchestrated by a 17. newly appointed principal that set in place a domino reaction that resulted in an employment crisis for Ms. Argyris. In October 2004, Ms. Argyris was falsely accused by the principal of engaging in an act of corporal punishment on a day that Ms. Argyris was not even in attendance at school. The principal later changed the date of the alleged act of corporal punishment to a date when Ms. Argyris was in school, but the alleged student victim was absent. The assistant principal confirmed in a conversation with Plaintiff that the principal knew that the parent of the alleged student victim had informed the assistant principal that Plaintiff was not the teacher who committed corporal punishment against her child.
- Notwithstanding that the allegations were not processed into formal disciplinary 18. charges, Ms. Argyris was removed from her classroom duties as a kindergarten teacher and reassigned to a Temporary Reassignment Center (hereinafter "TRC"), colloquially referred to as the "rubber room," and given non-pedagogical duties. Even though the allegations against Ms. Argyris never resulted in formal charges, Ms. Argyris remained under a cloud of suspicion while reassigned to the TRC.
- Although the allegations against Georgia Argyris were determined by the principal 19. in January 2005 to be unwarranted, both the principal and Defendants intentionally suppressed the information that Ms. Argyris had been exonerated of the corporal punishment allegation.

NYC Legal 116064

Plaintiff first learned that she had been exonerated of the corporal punishment allegations and improperly held in the rubber room for two years after she was terminated by Defendants.

- 20. Georgia Argyris is a devoted and committed educator. Defendants' unwarranted false accusations and willingness to allow Ms. Argyris to linger in the TRC, caused her significant distress. This resulted in depression, ill health and time and attendance problems for Ms. Argyris.
- 21. In a correspondence, dated February 2006, while reassigned to the TRC, the Board charged Ms. Argyris with excessive absenteeism and lateness from the TRC.
- 22. Article 21 G 1 of the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the United Federation of Teachers and the Board of Education of the City School District of the City of New York, which covers teachers such as Ms. Argyris, sets out a procedure for the expedited resolution of the discipline of tenured teachers for excessive absences and/or lateness where the penalty being sought by the Board is less than termination.
- 23. Plaintiff, under the mistaken belief that potential corporal punishment charges could be lodged against her and the threat of potential discharge, entered into a stipulation of settlement under the belief that she would finally be able to return to the classroom. By stipulation of settlement dated March 9, 2006, Ms. Argyris agreed to a probationary period regarding the number of times she could be absent and/or late during the remainder of the 2005-2006, and for the 2006-2007, 2007-2008 school years. The stipulation of settlement stated that if Ms. Argyris was absent or late a specific number of times during a specific time frame, she could be terminated without recourse to the *Education Law* §3020-a process. Because of the unlawful threat of continued placement in the rubber room and the potential discharge for corporal

punishment, at the time that Ms. Argyris entered into the stipulation of settlement she would have literally done anything to continue her teaching career. Ms. Argyris was represented at this proceeding by Sean Kelly, a person that she believed to be an attorney admitted to practice law in New York State. Pursuant to paragraph 9 of the stipulation of settlement, any termination during the probationary period may be reviewed at the request of the employee by the Defendants' Office of Labor Relations and Collective Bargaining ("OLRCB").

- 24. In September 2006, Ms. Argyris was returned to work under the very principal who had falsely accused her of corporal punishment. As part of the principal's continuing campaign to humiliate Ms. Argyris, she was not returned to her kindergarten assignment, but was given other administrative duties.
- 25. On September 14, 2006, and multiple occasions thereafter, Ms. Argyris requested that the principal sign a request granting Ms. Argyris an unpaid leave of absence for the restoration of health. The principal refused to sign this request so that it could be processed by Defendants. Without the principal's signature, Ms. Argyris' request could not be administratively processed by the Defendants' Medical Bureau. The principal's failure to ministerially sign-off on this request was a continuation of the principal's campaign to harass and intimidate Ms. Argyris.
- 26. On or about September 14, 2006, Ms. Argyris also requested that the principal grant her an unpaid leave pursuant to the Family Medical Leave Act ("FMLA"). The request was signed on or about September 19, 2006, by Dr. Irwin Feldinger, a licensed clinical psychologist and detailed the treatment and medications Ms. Argyris was receiving. The principal refused to sign this request so that it could be processed by Defendants. Without the principal's signature,

Ms. Argyris' request could not be administratively processed by Defendants' Medical Bureau. The principal's failure to ministerially sign off on this request was a continuation of the principal's campaign to harass and intimidate Ms. Argyris. This resulted in further depression and additional time and attendance problems for Ms. Argyris.

- 27. On or about September 15, 2006 Defendants' Medical Bureau examined Ms. Argyris and recommended a health leave. However, because of the principal's failure to execute any of Plaintiff's leave requests, the recommendation could not be administratively processed by Defendants.
- On October 30, 2006, Ms. Argyris was terminated for failing to comply with the 28. terms and conditions of the time and attendance stipulation of settlement.
- 29. On or about October 31, 2006, Ms. Argyris learned that Sean Kelly, the person who negotiated the stipulation of settlement on her behalf with the Board, was not admitted to the New York State Bar at the time he negotiated her stipulation of settlement.
- 30. Not until after entering into the stipulation of settlement and being terminated for failing to comply with the stipulation of settlement, did Plaintiff learn that she was exonerated of the corporal punishment allegations and that she had been improperly reassigned to the rubber room for two years.
- Plaintiff communicated her desire to return as a tenured teacher employed by the 31. Defendants by filing and serving the Defendants with a Notice of Claim on or about January 30, 2007.
- 32. Defendants have neglected such notice of claim and have continued to refuse to restore Plaintiff to her position, despite Plaintiff's repeated attempts to return to work as a

- Upon information and belief, Defendants' arbitrary, capricious, and unlawful 33. actions have caused Plaintiff personal damages in addition to the loss of salary, benefits, and other emoluments of employment for which the Defendants are liable.
- Upon information and belief, Defendants' actions also were undertaken willfully, 34. wantonly, maliciously and in reckless disregard for Plaintiff's rights, and as a direct and proximate result thereof. Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer economic and emotional damage in a total amount to be proven at trial; therefore Plaintiff seeks exemplary and punitive damages in an amount sufficient to deter said Defendants from similar future wrongful conduct.
- As a further direct and proximate result of Defendants' actions, Plaintiff has 35. suffered and continues to suffer impairment and damage to Plaintiff's good name and reputation by Defendants.
- 36. As a further direct and proximate result of Defendants' actions, Plaintiff has been unable, despite reasonable efforts, to find comparable employment, and has been deprived of other employment opportunities as a result of her unlawful deprivation of employment.

AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Family Medical Leave Act ("FMLA")

- Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges and incorporates by reference herein each and 37. every allegation contained above.
- 38. Plaintiff requested said leave of absence from the District to care for her own serious health condition, as that phrase is defined by the FMLA, 29 U.S.C. §2611(11) and 29 C.F.R. §825.114, Plaintiff was suffering from an illness or impairment and under the continuing

Filed 06/06/2008

treatment of a health care provider. For other and further reasons, Plaintiff suffered from a serious health condition at all relevant times as that phrase is defined by the Act.

- 39. Defendant District was and is an "employer", as that term is defined by the FMLA, 29 U.S.C. §2611(4), and therefore comes within the scope and coverage of the Act, for, among other reasons, having engaged in commerce or any activity affecting commerce and having employed fifty or more employees for the requisite time period.
- 40. Defendant Chancellor was and is an "employer" at all relevant times, as that term is defined by the FMLA, 29 U.S.C. §2611(4), and therefore comes within the scope and coverage of the Act, having acted, directly or indirectly in the interests of the District with respect to employees of the District, such District having been an "employer" within the meaning of the Act and covered by the Act.
- 41. Plaintiff was an "eligible employee" as that term is defined by the FMLA, 29 U.S.C. §2611(2), entitled to all of the rights and benefits of the Act, at the time leave was requested.
- 42. Due to the deteriorating nature of the health condition of Plaintiff, thirty (30) days notice for the requested leave was not practicable. Plaintiff's need for said leave of absence was not foreseeable, and Plaintiff gave Defendants all practicable notice.
- 43. Even if the giving of thirty days notice was practicable. Defendants had no authority to forever bar Plaintiff from a FMLA leave but were instead merely limited to delaying their approval of Plaintiff's leave request for a period of thirty days, in accordance with 29 C.F.R. § 825.207(h).

- 44. Upon information and belief, Defendants further failed in their obligations under the FMLA and 29 C.F.R. §825.301 to provide posted notices and other materials to its employees regarding their rights and obligations under the Act.
- 45. Upon the foregoing allegations, Plaintiff was entitled to FMLA leave from her position with the Defendant District following her September, 2006 request for such leave, and Defendants' refusal to process such leave violated Plaintiff's rights under the FMLA, entitling Plaintiff to the relief requested in this Complaint. The alleged excessive absenteeism which Defendants claim as justification for Plaintiff's dismissal resulted directly from Plaintiff's illness and Defendants' wrongful refusal to grant her request for FMLA leave.
- 46. The acts of Defendants further constitute a "willful" violation under the Act, and thus Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages as provided for in 29 U.S.C. §2617.

AS AND FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

Fraudulent Inducement

- 47. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges and incorporates by reference herein each and every allegation contained above.
- 48. Defendants placed and kept Ms. Argyris in the "rubber room" based upon an alleged corporal punishment allegation, implicitly representing to Ms. Argyris that such accusations and/or charges continued to be ongoing during Plaintiffs reassignment to the rubber room. As early as January 2005, Defendants' had knowledge that there was no basis for Plaintiff's reassignment to the rubber room. Ms. Argyris relied on the Defendants' continued reassignment of her to the rubber room as a representation that there was an appropriate basis for her continued placement in the rubber room and as a result of that representation in March 9.

2006, Ms. Argyris signed away her tenure due process rights resulting in injury. The stipulation of settlement entered into between Plaintiff and the Defendants should be declared void ab initio based on fraudulent inducement.

AS AND FOR A THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

Duress

- 49. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges and incorporates by reference herein each and every allegation contained above.
- 50. Defendants, as parties to the stipulation of settlement executed by Plaintiff, are necessary parties to this claim.
- 51. Plaintiff, as a tenured teacher in the Defendants' employ, had a property interest in her position and was forced to sign away her tenured due process rights which she had every right to maintain when she executed the stipulation of settlement. Because of the constant and unlawful threat of continued placement in the rubber room and potential discharge for corporal punishment, Plaintiff would have literally done anything to get out of the rubber room and continue her teaching career. The stipulation of settlement entered into between Plaintiff and the Defendants should be declared void ab initio because Plaintiff executed the agreement under duress in that Plaintiff genuinely felt that she had no option but to sign the stipulation of settlement if she wished to continue her teaching career.

AS AND FOR A FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Equitable Estoppel

Defendants' intentionally misled Plaintiff by concealing the fact that she had been 52. exonerated of all of the allegations which caused Plaintiff's reassignment to the rubber room.

- 53. As a result, Plaintiff was desperate to return to her classroom duties and entered into the stipulation of settlement with Defendants to accomplish her return to the classroom.
- 54. Plaintiff entered into the stipulation of settlement only as a result of Defendants' misconduct and Defendants should be equitably estopped from asserting any rights under the stipulation of settlement.

AS AND FOR A FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Article I, § 6 of the New York State Constitution

- 55. Article I, §6 of the New York State Constitution protects the property interests of teachers in their tenure right, which cannot be deprived without due process of law and the right to counsel.
- 56. Plaintiff, as a tenured teacher in the Defendants' employ, had a property interest in her position and was entitled to representation by counsel at the proceeding wherein she was charged with excessive absenteeism and lateness. The stipulation of settlement entered into between Plaintiff and the Defendants should be declared void *ab initio* because the person who appeared as counsel on Plaintiff's behalf was not an attorney pursuant to the rules of New York State and thus failed to provide Plaintiff representation and the right to counsel as guaranteed by the Article 1, §6 of the New York State Constitution. Because there is no adequate remedy at law, equity requires that the contract be declared void *ab initio*.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, Plaintiff demands judgment as follows:

A. Granting judgment annulling the March 9, 2006 stipulation of settlement between Plaintiff and the Defendants, and directing reinstatement of Plaintiff as a tenured teacher employed by the Defendants *nunc pro tunc*:

NYC Legal, 116064

- B. Declaring that the acts and practices complained of herein are in violation of the United States Constitution, the Constitution of the State of New York, the FMLA, and enjoining and permanently restraining these violations of law:
- C. Directing Defendants to take such affirmative action as is necessary to ensure that the effect of these unlawful employment practices are eliminated;
- D. Directing Defendants to make Plaintiff whole for all earnings and other compensation and benefits she would have received but for Defendants' unlawful actions, including but not limited to all seniority, salary, rights, benefits and emoluments to which Plaintiff would have been entitled:
- E. Granting Plaintiff judgment against Defendants, jointly and severally, for punitive, exemplary and liquidated damages in an amount to be established at trial; and
- F. Granting Plaintiff such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Dated: New York, NY April 29, 2008

Case 1:07-cv-09623-DC

Respectfully Submitted,

JAMES R. SANDNER (JS-0360)

Attorney for Plaintiff 52 Broadway, 9th Floor

New York, NY 10004-1614

(212) 533-6300

By:

MELÍNDA G. GORDON (MG-0939)

Senior Counsel

STROOCK & STROOCK & LAVAN LLP 180 Maiden Lane New York, NY 10038-4982 212-806-5400

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY MAIL

I, Robyn Silvermintz, declare, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, under penalty of perjury that on June 6, 2008, I served the annexed NOTICE OF MOTION and DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS upon the plaintiff by depositing a copy of same, enclosed in a first class postpaid properly addressed wrapper, in a post office depository under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service, within the State of New York, directed to said plaintiff at the addresses set forth below, being the addresses designated by plaintiff for that purpose:

James R. Sandner
Attorney for Plaintiff
52 Broadway, 9th Floor
New York, NY 10004-1614

attn.: Melinda Gordon, Senior Counsel

Dated: New York, New York

June 6, 2008

ROBYN SILVERMINTZ ASSISTANT CORPORATION COUNSEL